

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/507,465	02/22/2000	Rodney C. Langley	M4065.0018/P018-A 2423	
75	90 01/17/2002			
Thomas J D'Amico			EXAMINER	
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 2101 L Street NW			ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
Washington, DC 20037-1526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	a

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A-5-9				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/507,465	LANGLEY ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <i>none</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-16, 26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the combination cited suggests the apparatus claimed by the applicant. The examiner has set forth a motivation for the combination presented. The applicant can not make the case that there is no motivation for the combination if he merely chooses not to recognize the motivation that is presented. The applicant's argument that the "expected result" of a rotatable pedestal was beyond those of ordinary skill in the art is not convinciing in that the rotation was suggested by Nakayama et al. as ensuring the uniform flow of gases over the substrate (col. 7 lines 15-25) and that the gas flow would be equally applicable to plasma etching systems (col. 9 lines 20-30)..

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT CHAMINER

TECHNOLCL